

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
PPLICATION NO. 09/448,633	11/24/1999	AI-PING WEI	53091USA8B	5266	
32692 7590 07/16/2003 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN	1	MORAN, MARJORIE A			
S1. PAUL, MIN	( ))133-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1631 DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	28	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	plicant(s)				
÷	•	09/448,633		WEI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Marjorie A. Mo	oran	1631				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the	e correspondence a	ddress			
Period fo	r Reply							
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION rsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 'SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statically received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, heply within the statutory od will apply and will expense the applications.	nowever, may a reply be minimum of thirty (30) of bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered tim  om the mailing date of this  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. communication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30		- final					
2a)⊠		This action is no		procesution as to	the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims	ding in the appli	cation					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4-10,12,13 and 15-21 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,4-10,12,13,15-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠	Claim(s) 20 is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requ	un errierit.					
	tion Papers  The energification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
9)∐	The specification is objected to by the Exam	o is/are: a\⊠ accer	oted or b)☐ object	ted to by the Exam	ner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 1999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
111	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	roved b)⊡ disar	pproved by the Exa	miner.			
'')[_]	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Offic	e action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
ì	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
121	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- · ·						
	The state of the exiculty decuments have been received							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documen al Bureau (PCT R	its have been red Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this Natio	nal Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisi	onal application).			
1	a) The translation of the foreign language	e provisional app	lication has beer	n received.				
15)🗵	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority un	aer 30 U.S.C. §§	3 120 aliu/01 121.				
Attachm			4) Tatoniou Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Pape	er No(s).			
2) T No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8)	4) Interview Sur 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application	(PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 1, 4-10, 12-13, and 15-21 are pending. All rejections and objections not repeated below are hereby withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4-10, 12-13, 15-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a NEW MATTER rejection.

A limitation wherein separation of dye groups and/or enzymatic cleavage of a substrate produces an "at least 20-fold increase in fluorescence intensity over that of the quenched dye groups", as recited in amended claims 1, 12, and 21, is new matter. Original claims 1 and 21 recited only an "increase in fluorescence intensity", did not recite any particular percentage or "fold" increase. Original claim 12 did not recite any limitation with regard to an increase in fluorescence intensity. Original claim 11 limited the fluorescence intensity of claim 1 to be at least 10-fold over that of conventional assay kits. Applicant points to page 14 and Table 1 for support for the newly claimed limitation. Page 14 discloses that fluorescence intensity in an inventive method may be

Art Unit: 1631

at least 10-, 20-, or 30-fold over that of conventional assay kits. Page 14 of the specification does not disclose any increase in fluorescent intensity for separated/cleaved dye groups as compared to quenched dye groups. Table 1 of the originally filed specification discloses increases of intensity for cleaved versus uncleaved (quenched) substrates of 25-28 fold. Page 19 of the originally filed specification also discloses that the fluorescent intensity of a cleaved substrate may be 29 times of the intact (quenched) substrate, averaging 25-28 times the intensity. The originally filed specification thus provides support for an increase in fluorescent intensity of a separated/cleaved dye groups of 25- to 29-fold over that of the quenched dye groups. There is no support for any increase outside of the 25-29 range; i.e. for a 20-to24-fold increase or for any increase greater than 29-fold. As the full range of "at least a 20-fold increase" in fluorescence intensity of cleaved/separated dye groups as compared to quenched dye groups was not disclosed in the originally filed specification or claims, claims reciting this limitation contain new matter. Applicant's attention is also directed to MPEP 2163.05.III for a discussion of range limitations and new matter.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1631

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach the structure recited in claim 20. The prior art does not teach any motivation to pick the particular amino acid sequence recited in claim 20 as the peptide portion of the claimed substrate, nor does the prior art teach the claimed sequence as part of any other (e.g. larger) protease substrate, therefore claim 20 is not suggested by the prior art.

## Conclusion

Claim 20 is again objected to. Claims 1, 4-10, 12-13, 15-19 and 21 are rejected but appear to be free of the prior art. KOMORIYA does teach an increase in fluorescent intensity for homo-labeled substrates, but the highest increase in fluorescence intensity specifically taught is 12-fold (Table 9;  $^{\circ}$ Q=(1- $^{\circ}$ I<sub>c</sub>)x100, wherein I<sub>o</sub> represents the fluorescent intensity of intact substrate and I<sub>c</sub> represents the fluorescence intensity of cleaved substrate. Where  $^{\circ}$ Q=92, I<sub>c</sub>/I<sub>o</sub>=12).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1631

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marjorie A. Moran whose telephone number is (703) 305-2363. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 am to 4 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (703) 308-4028. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3524.

MARJORIE MORAN
PATENT EXAMINER
Sayour G. Moran

mam July 10, 2003